

**Correction to “Nonparametric Identification
of Finite Mixture Models of Dynamic
Discrete Choices,” (*Econometrica*, Vol. 77,
No. 1, January 2009, 135–175)**

Hiroyuki Kasahara
Vancouver School of Economics
University of British Columbia
hkasahar@mail.ubc.ca

Katsumi Shimotsu
Faculty of Economics
University of Tokyo
shimotsu@e.u-tokyo.ac.jp

October 2013

In the proof of Proposition 6, on page 172, the matrix $P_{\bar{s},k}$ should be defined using $\tilde{F}_{s_2,s_4}^* = \sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{\bar{s}}^m \lambda_{\bar{s}}^m(s_2) \lambda_{\bar{s}}^{*m}(s_4)$ and $\tilde{F}_{s_2}^* = \sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{\bar{s}}^m \lambda_{\bar{s}}^{*m}(s_2)$ rather than $\tilde{F}_{s_2,s_6}^* = \sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{\bar{s}}^m \lambda_{\bar{s}}^m(s_2) \lambda_{\bar{s}}^{*m}(s_6)$ and $\tilde{F}_{s_6}^* = \sum_{m=1}^M \pi_{\bar{s}}^m \lambda_{\bar{s}}^{*m}(s_6)$, respectively. Furthermore, the phrase “As in the proof of Proposition 1, evaluate these \tilde{F} ’s at $s_2 = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{M-1}$, $s_4 = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{M-1}$, and $s_6 = r, \dots$ ” should be replaced with “As in the proof of Proposition 1, evaluate these \tilde{F} ’s at $s_2 = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{M-1}, k$, $s_4 = \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{M-1}, k$, and $s_6 = k, \dots$ ” With these corrections, the proof of Proposition 6 goes through. We are grateful to Ruli Xiao for pointing out the error.