

## 7. Linearly Homogeneous Functions and Euler's Theorem

Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_N) \equiv f(x)$  be a function of  $N$  variables defined over the positive orthant,  $\square \equiv \{x: x \gg 0_N\}$ . Note that  $x \gg 0_N$  means that each component of  $x$  is positive while  $x \geq 0_N$  means that each component of  $x$  is nonnegative. Finally,  $x > 0_N$  means  $x \geq 0_N$  but  $x \neq 0_N$  (i.e., the components of  $x$  are nonnegative and at least one component is positive).

(96) **Definition:**  $f$  is (positively) linearly homogeneous iff  $f(\square x) = \square f(x)$  for all  $\square > 0$  and  $x \gg 0_N$ .

(97) **Definition:**  $f$  is (positively) homogeneous of degree  $\square$  iff  $f(\square x) = \square^\square f(x)$  for all  $\square > 0$  and  $x \gg 0_N$ .

We often assume that production functions and utility functions are linearly homogeneous. If the producer's production function  $f$  is linearly homogeneous, then we say that the technology is subject to *constant returns to scale*; i.e., if we double all inputs, output also doubles. If the production function  $f$  is homogeneous of degree  $\square < 1$ , then we say that the technology is subject to *diminishing returns to scale* while if  $\square > 1$ , then we have *increasing returns to scale*.

Functions that are homogeneous of degree 1, 0 or -1 occur frequently in index number theory.

Recall the profit maximization problem (i) in Problem 9 above. The optimized objective function,  $\square(p, w_1, w_2)$ , in that problem is called the firm's *profit function* and it turns out to be linearly homogeneous in  $(p, w_1, w_2)$ .

For another example of a linearly homogeneous function, consider the problem which defines the producer's *cost function*. Let  $x \geq 0_N$  be a vector of inputs,  $y \geq 0$  be the output produced by the inputs  $x$  and let  $y = f(x)$  be the producer's production function. Let  $p \gg 0_N$  be a vector of input prices that the producer faces, and define the *producer's cost function* as

$$(98) \quad C(y, p) \equiv \min_{x \geq 0_N} \{p^T x: f(x) \geq y\}.$$

It can readily be seen, that for fixed  $y$ ,  $C(y, p)$  is linearly homogeneous in the components of  $p$ ; i.e., let  $\square > 0$ ,  $p \gg 0_N$  and we have

$$(99) \quad \begin{aligned} C(y, \square p) &\equiv \min_{x \geq 0_N} \{\square p^T x: f(x) \geq \bar{y}\} \\ &\equiv \square \min_{x \geq 0_N} \{p^T x: f(x) \geq \bar{y}\} && \text{using } \square > 0 \\ &\equiv \square C(y, p). \end{aligned}$$

Now recall the definition of a linearly homogeneous function  $f$  given by (96). We have the following two very useful theorems that apply to differentiable linearly homogeneous functions.

**Euler's First Theorem:** If  $f$  is linearly homogeneous and once continuously differentiable, then its first order partial derivative functions,  $f_i(x)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , are homogeneous of degree zero and

$$(100) \quad f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i f_i(x) = x^T \nabla f(x).$$

*Proof:* Partially differentiate both sides of the equation in (96) with respect to  $x_i$ ; we get for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ :

$$(101) \quad f_i(\lambda x) \lambda = \lambda f_i(x) \quad \text{for all } x \gg 0_N \text{ and } \lambda > 0, \text{ or}$$

$$(102) \quad f_i(\lambda x) = f_i(x) = \lambda^0 f_i(x) \quad \text{for all } x \gg 0_N \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$$

Using definition (97) for  $\lambda = 0$ , we see that equation (102) implies that  $f_i$  is homogeneous of degree 0.

To establish (100), partially differentiate both sides of the equation in (96) with respect to  $\lambda$  and get:

$$(103) \quad \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots, \lambda x_N) \partial(\lambda x_i) / \partial \lambda = f(x) \text{ or} \\ \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots, \lambda x_N) x_i = f(x).$$

Now set  $\lambda = 1$  in (103) to obtain (100).

Q.E.D.

**Euler's Second Theorem:** If  $f$  is linearly homogeneous and twice continuously differentiable, then the second order partial derivatives of  $f$  satisfy the following  $N$  linear restrictions: for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ :

$$(104) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}(x) x_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \equiv (x_1, \dots, x_N)^T \gg 0.$$

The restrictions (104) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(105) \quad \nabla^2 f(x) x = 0_N \quad \text{for every} \quad x \gg 0_N.$$

*Proof:* For each  $i$ , partially differentiate both sides of equation (102) with respect to  $\lambda$  and get for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ :

$$(106) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}(\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_N) \partial(\lambda x_j) / \partial \lambda = 0 \quad \text{or} \\ \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}(\lambda x) x_j = 0.$$

Now set  $\lambda = 1$  in (106) and the resulting equations are equations (104).

Q.E.D.

### Problems:

12. **[Shephard's Lemma].** Suppose that the producer's cost function  $C(y, p)$  is defined by (98) above. Suppose that when  $p = p^* \gg 0_N$  and  $y = y^* > 0$ ,  $x^* > 0_N$  solves the cost minimization problem, so that

$$(i) \quad p^{*T}x^* = C(y^*, p^*) \equiv \min_x \{p^{*T}x : f(x) \geq y^*\}.$$

(a) Suppose further that  $C$  is differentiable with respect to the input prices at  $(y^*, p^*)$ . Then show that

$$(ii) \quad x^* = \lambda_p C(y^*, p^*).$$

*Hint:* Because  $x^*$  solves the cost minimization problem defined by  $C(y^*, p^*)$  by hypothesis, then  $x^*$  must be feasible for this problem so we must have  $f(x^*) \geq y^*$ . Thus  $x^*$  is a feasible solution for the following cost minimization problem where the general input price vector  $p \gg 0_N$  has replaced the specific input price vector  $p^* \gg 0_N$ :

$$(iii) \quad C(y^*, p) \equiv \min_x \{p^T x : f(x) \geq y^*\} \\ \leq p^T x^*$$

where the inequality follows from the fact that  $x^*$  is a feasible (but usually not optional) solution for the cost minimization problem in (iii). Now define for each  $p \gg 0_N$ :

$$(iv) \quad g(p) \equiv p^T x^* - C(y^*, p).$$

Use (i) and (iii) to show that  $g(p)$  is minimized (over all  $p$  such that  $p \gg 0_N$ ) at  $p = p^*$ . Now recall the first order necessary conditions for a minimum.

(b) Under the hypotheses of part (a), suppose  $x^{**} > 0_N$  is another solution to the cost minimization problem defined in (i). Then show  $x^* = x^{**}$ ; i.e., the solution to (i) is unique under the assumption that  $C(y^*, p^*)$  is differentiable with respect to the components of  $p$ .

13. Suppose  $C(y, p)$  defined by (98) is twice continuously differentiable with respect to the components of the input price vector  $p$  and let the vector  $x(y, p)$  solve (98); i.e.,  $x(y, p) \equiv [x_1(y, p), \dots, x_N(y, p)]^T$  is the producer's system of cost minimizing input demand functions. Define the  $N$  by  $N$  matrix of first order partial derivatives of the  $x_i(y, p)$  with respect to the components of  $p$  as:

$$(i) \quad A \equiv [\partial x_i(y, p_1, \dots, p_N) / \partial p_j] \equiv \square_p x(y, p).$$

Show that:

$$(ii) \quad A = A^T \text{ and}$$

$$(iii) \quad Ap = 0_N.$$

*Hint:* By the previous problem,  $x(y, p) \equiv \square_p C(y, p)$ . Recall also (99) and Euler's Second Theorem.

*Comment:* The restrictions (ii) and (iii) above were first derived by J.R. Hicks (1939), *Value and Capital*, Appendix to Chapters II and III, part 8 and P.A. Samuelson (1947), *Foundations of Economic Analysis*, page 69. The restrictions (ii) on the input demand derivatives  $\partial x_i / \partial p_j$  are known as the *Hicks-Samuelson symmetry conditions*.

So far, we have developed two methods for checking the second order conditions that arise in unconstrained optimization theory: (i) the *Lagrange-Gauss diagonalization procedure* explained in section 5 above and (iii) the *determinantal conditions method* explained in section 6 above. In the final sections of this chapter, we are going to derive a third method: the *eigenvalue method*. Before we can explain this method, we require some preliminary material on complex numbers.

## 8. Complex Numbers and the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

(107) **Definition:**  $i$  is an algebraic symbol which has the property  $i^2 = -1$ .

Hence  $i$  can be regarded as the square root of  $-1$ ; i.e.,  $\sqrt{-1} = i$ .

(108) **Definition:** A *complex number*  $z$  is a number which has the form  $z = x + iy$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are ordinary real numbers. The number  $x$  is called the *real part* of  $z$  and the number  $y$  is called the *imaginary part* of  $z$ .

We can add and multiply complex numbers. To *add* two complex numbers, we merely add their real parts and imaginary parts to form the sum; i.e., if  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ , then

$$(109) \quad z_1 + z_2 = [x_1 + iy_1] + [x_2 + iy_2] \equiv (x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2)i.$$

To *multiply* together two complex numbers  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , we multiply them together using ordinary algebra, replacing  $i^2$  by  $-1$ ; i.e.,

$$(110) \quad \begin{aligned} z_1 \cdot z_2 &= [x_1 + iy_1] \cdot [x_2 + iy_2] \\ &= x_1x_2 + iy_1x_2 + ix_1y_2 + i^2y_1y_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= x_1x_2 + i^2y_1y_2 + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)i \\
 &= (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Two complex numbers are *equal* iff their real parts and imaginary parts are identical; i.e., if  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ , then  $z_1 = z_2$  iff  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 = y_2$ .

The final definition we require in this section is the definition of a complex conjugate.

(111) **Definition:** If  $z = x + iy$ , then the *complex conjugate* of  $z$ , denoted by  $\bar{z}$ , is defined as the complex number  $x - iy$ ; i.e.,  $\bar{z} = x - iy$ .

An interesting property of a complex number and its complex conjugate is given in Problem 15 below.

### Problems:

14. Let  $a = 3 + i$ ;  $b = 1 + 5i$  and  $c = 5 - 2i$ . Calculate  $ab-c$ . Note that we have written  $a \cdot b$  as  $ab$ .

15. Show that  $z \cdot \bar{z} \geq 0$  for any complex number  $z = x + iy$ .

16. Let  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$  be two complex numbers calculate  $z_3 = z_1 \cdot z_2$ . Show that  $\bar{z}_3 = \bar{z}_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2$ ; i.e., the complex conjugate of a product of two complex numbers is equal to the product of the complex conjugates.

Now let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $N$ ; i.e.,

$$(112) \quad f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_Nx^N \quad \text{where} \quad a_N \neq 0,$$

where the fixed numbers  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  are ordinary real numbers. If we try to solve the equation  $f(x) = 0$  for real roots  $x$ , then it can happen that no real roots to this polynomial equation exist; e.g., consider

$$(113) \quad 1 + x^2 = 0$$

so that  $x^2 = -1$  and no real roots to (113) exist. However, note that if we allow solutions  $x$  to (113) to be complex numbers, then (113) has the roots  $x_1 = i$  and  $x_2 = -i$ . In general, if we allow solutions to the equation  $f(x) = 0$  (where  $f$  is defined by (112)) to be complex numbers, then there are always  $N$  roots to the equation (some of which could be repeated or multiple roots).

(114) **Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:** Every polynomial equation of the form,  $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_Nx^N = 0$  (with  $a_N \neq 0$ ) has  $N$  roots or solutions,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ , where in general, the  $x_i$  are complex numbers.

This is one of the few theorems which we will not prove in this course. For a

proof, see J.V. Uspensky, *Theory of Equations*.

## 9. The Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Symmetric Matrix

Let  $A$  be a general  $N$  by  $N$  matrix; i.e., it is not restricted to be symmetric at this point.

(115) **Definition:**  $\lambda$  is a *eigenvalue* of  $A$  with the corresponding eigenvector  $z = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N]^T \neq 0_N$  iff  $\lambda$  and  $z$  satisfy the following equation:

$$(116) \quad Az = \lambda z; \quad z \neq 0_N.$$

Note that the eigenvector  $z$  which appears in (116) is not allowed to be a vector of zeros.

In the following theorem, we restrict  $A$  to be a symmetric matrix. In the case of a general  $N$  by  $N$  nonsymmetric  $A$  matrix, the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  which appears in (116) is allowed to be a complex number and the eigenvector  $z$  which appears in (116) is allowed to be a vector of complex numbers; i.e.,  $z$  is allowed to have the form  $z = x + iy$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are  $N$  dimensional vectors of real numbers.

(117) **Theorem:** Every  $N$  by  $N$  symmetric matrix  $A$  has  $N$  eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$  where these eigenvalues are real numbers.

*Proof:* The equation (116) is equivalent to:

$$(118) \quad [A - \lambda I_N]z = 0_N; \quad z \neq 0_N.$$

Now if  $[A - \lambda I_N]^{-1}$  were to exist, then we could premultiply both sides of (118) by this inverse matrix and obtain:

$$(119) \quad [A - \lambda I_N]^{-1} [A - \lambda I_N]z = [A - \lambda I_N]^{-1} 0_N = 0_N \quad \text{or} \quad z = 0_N.$$

But  $z = 0_N$  is not admissible as an eigenvector by definition (115). From our earlier material on determinants, we know that  $[A - \lambda I_N]^{-1}$  exists iff  $|A - \lambda I_N| \neq 0$ . Hence, in order to hope to find a  $\lambda$  and  $z \neq 0_N$  which satisfy (116), we *must* have:

$$(120) \quad |A - \lambda I_N| = 0.$$

If  $N = 2$ , the determinantal equation (120) becomes:

$$(121) \quad 0 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\
&= (a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) - a_{12}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a quadratic equation in  $\lambda$ .

In the general  $N$  by  $N$  case, if we expand out the determinantal equation (120), we obtain an equation of degree  $N$  in  $\lambda$  of the form  $b_0 + b_1\lambda + b_2\lambda^2 + \dots + b_N\lambda^N = 0$  and by the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, this polynomial equation has  $N$  roots,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$  say. Once we have found these eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ , we can obtain corresponding eigenvectors  $z^i \neq 0_N$  by solving

$$(122) \quad [A - \lambda_i I_N]z^i = 0_N; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

for a nonzero vector  $z^i$ . (We will show exactly how this can be done later).

However, both the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and the eigenvectors  $z^i$  can have complex numbers as components in general. We now show that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors have real numbers as components when  $A = A^T$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda_1$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  (where  $\lambda_1 = a_1 + b_1i$  say) and  $z^1 = x^1 + iy^1$  is the corresponding eigenvector. Since  $z^1 \neq 0_N$ , at least one component of the  $x^1$  and  $y^1$  vectors must be nonzero. Thus letting  $\bar{z}^1 \equiv x^1 - iy^1$  be the vector of complex conjugates of the components of  $z^1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
z^{1T} \bar{z}^1 &= [x^{1T} + iy^{1T}] [x^1 - iy^1] \\
&= x^{1T} x^1 - i^2 y^{1T} y^1 - ix^{1T} y^1 + iy^{1T} x^1 \\
&= x^{1T} x^1 + y^{1T} y^1 - i[x^{1T} y^1 - y^{1T} x^1] \\
&= x^{1T} x^1 + y^{1T} y^1 \quad \text{since} \quad x^{1T} y^1 = y^{1T} x^1 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i^1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i^1)^2 \\
(123) \quad &> 0
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows since at least one of the  $x_i^1$  or  $y_i^1$  is not equal to zero and hence its square is positive.

By the definition of  $\lambda_1$  and  $z^1$  being an eigenvalue and eigenvector of  $A$ , we have:

$$(124) \quad Az^1 = \lambda_1 z^1.$$

Since  $A$  is a real matrix, the matrix of complex conjugates of  $A$ ,  $\bar{A}$ , is  $A$ . Now take complex conjugates on both sides of (124). Using  $\bar{\bar{A}} = A$  and Problem 16 above we obtain:

$$(125) \quad A \bar{z}^1 = \bar{\alpha}_1 \bar{z}^1.$$

Premultiply both sides of (124) by  $\bar{z}^{1T}$  and we obtain the following equality:

$$(126) \quad \bar{z}^{1T} A z^1 = \bar{\alpha}_1 \bar{z}^{1T} z^1.$$

Now take transposes of both sides of (126) and we obtain:

$$(127) \quad \bar{\alpha}_1 \bar{z}^{1T} \bar{z}^1 = z^{1T} A^T \bar{z}^1 = z^{1T} A \bar{z}^1$$

where the second equality in (127) follows from the symmetry of  $A$ ; i.e.,  $A = A^T$ . Now premultiply both sides of (125) by  $z^{1T}$  and obtain:

$$(128) \quad \bar{\alpha}_1 \bar{z}^{1T} \bar{z}^1 = z^{1T} A^T \bar{z}^1.$$

Since the right hand sides of (127) and (128) are equal, so are the left hand sides so we obtain the following equality:

$$(129) \quad \bar{\alpha}_1 z^{1T} \bar{z}^1 = \bar{\alpha}_1 z^{1T} \bar{z}^1.$$

Using (123), we see that  $z^{1T} \bar{z}^1$  is a positive number so we can divide both sides of (129) by  $z^{1T} \bar{z}^1$  to obtain:

$$(130) \quad \bar{\alpha}_1 = a_1 + b_1 i = \bar{\alpha}_1 = a_1 - b_1 i,$$

which in turn implies that the imaginary part of  $\bar{\alpha}_1$  must be zero; i.e., we find that  $b_1 = 0$  and hence the eigenvalue  $\bar{\alpha}_1$  must be an ordinary real number.

To find a real eigenvector  $z^1 = x^1 + i0_N = x^1 \neq 0_N$  that corresponds to the eigenvalue  $\bar{\alpha}_1$ , define the  $N$  by  $N$  matrix  $B^1$  as

$$(131) \quad B^1 \equiv A - \bar{\alpha}_1 I_N.$$

We know that  $|B^1| = 0$  and we need to find a vector  $x^1 \neq 0_N$  such that  $B^1 x^1 = 0_N$ . Apply the Gaussian triangularization algorithm to  $B^1$ . This leads to an elementary row matrix  $E^1$  with  $|E^1| = 1$  and

$$(132) \quad E^1 B^1 = U^1$$

where  $U^1$  is an upper triangular  $N$  by  $N$  matrix. Since  $|B^1| = 0$ , taking determinants on both sides of (132) leads to  $|U^1| = 0$  and hence at least one of the  $N$  diagonal elements  $u_{ii}^1$  of  $U^1$  must be zero. Let  $u_{i_1 i_1}^1$  be the first such zero diagonal element. We choose the components of the  $x^1$  vector as follows: let  $x_{i_1}^1$



$= 1$ , let  $x_j^1 = 0$  for  $j > i_1$  and choose the first  $i_1 - 1$  components of  $x^1$  by solving the following triangular system of equations:

$$(133) \quad U^1 [x_1^1, x_2^1, \dots, x_{i_1-1}^1, 1, 0_{N-i_1}^T]^T = 0_N.$$

Using the fact that the  $u_{ii}^1 \neq 0$  for  $i < i_1$ , it can be seen that the  $x_1^1, x_2^1, \dots, x_{i_1-1}^1$  solution to (133) is unique. Hence, we have exhibited the existence of an  $x^1$  vector such that:

$$(134) \quad U^1 x^1 = 0_N \quad \text{with} \quad x^1 \neq 0_N.$$

Now premultiply both sides of (134) by  $(E^1)^{-1}$  and using (132), (134) becomes

$$(135) \quad B^1 x^1 = 0_N \quad \text{with} \quad x^1 \neq 0_N.$$

Obviously, the above procedure that showed that the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  and eigenvector  $x^1$  for  $A$  were real can be repeated to show that all of the  $N$  eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix  $A$  are real with corresponding real eigenvectors.

Q.E.D.

*Example 1:*  $A = [a_{11}]$ ; i.e., consider the case  $N = 1$ . In this case,  $\lambda_1 = a_{11}$  and the eigenvector  $x^1 = x_1^1$  can be any nonzero number  $x_1^1$ .

*Example 2:*  $A = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}$  i.e.,  $A$  is diagonal. In this case, the determinantal equation that defines the 2 eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  is:

$$|A - \lambda I_2| = \begin{vmatrix} d_1 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (d_1 - \lambda)(d_2 - \lambda) = 0.$$

Hence the eigenvalues of a diagonal matrix are just the diagonal elements; i.e.,  $\lambda_1 = d_1$  and  $\lambda_2 = d_2$ . Let us further suppose that the 2 diagonal elements of  $A$  are  $d_1 = 1$  and  $d_2 = 2$ . Let us calculate the eigenvector  $x^1 = (x_1^1, x_2^1)^T \neq 0_2$  that corresponds to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = d_1 = 1$ . Define

$$(136) \quad B^1 = A - \lambda_1 I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case,  $B^1$  is already upper triangular and the first zero diagonal element of  $B^1 = U^1$  is  $u_{11}^1 = 0$ . In this case, we just set  $x_1^1 = 1$  and  $x_2^1 = 0$ . It can be verified that we have  $B^1 x^1 = 0_2$  or  $Ax^1 = \lambda_1 x^1$  with  $x^1 = e^1$  and  $\lambda_1 = 1$ .

Now calculate the eigenvector that corresponds to the second eigenvalue of  $A$ ,  $\lambda_2 = d_2 = 2$ . Define

$$(137) \quad B^2 = A - \lambda_2 I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Also, in this case,  $B^2$  is upper triangular, so  $B^2 = U^2$  and the first zero diagonal element of  $U^2$  is  $u_{22}^2 = 0$ . In this case, we set  $x_2^2 = 1$  and solve

$$U^2 x^2 = B^2 x^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1^2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_1^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $x_1^2 = 0$ . Thus  $x^2 = e_2$  (the second unit vector) does the job as an eigenvector for the second eigenvalue  $\lambda^2 = d_2$  of a diagonal matrix.

*Example 3:*  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  The determinantal equation that defines the 2 eigenvalues of this  $A$  is

$$(138) \quad 0 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1 - \lambda)^2 - 1 \\ = 1 - 2\lambda + \lambda^2 - 1 \\ = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda \\ = \lambda(\lambda - 2).$$

Hence the two roots of (138) are  $\lambda_1 = 2$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . To define an eigenvector  $x^1$  for  $\lambda_1$ , define:

$$B^1 = A - \lambda_1 I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

To transform  $B^1$  into an upper triangular matrix, add the first row to the second row and we obtain  $U^1$ :

$$U^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The first 0 diagonal element of  $U^1$  is  $u_{22}^1 = 0$ . Hence set  $x_2^1 = 1$  and solve

$$U^1 x^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1^1 + x_2^1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 \\ x_2^1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $x_2^1 = 1$ . Hence  $x^1 = [1, 1]^T$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda_1 = 2$ .